ECOFRIENDLY TECHNOLOGY FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF BRINJAL PEST USING REDUVIIDS

Bibin.G.Anand,¹ Fathima Rizwana A.² Prakash S³

¹Udaya School of Engineering, Vellamodi, K.K dist E-mail:bibin_g_anand@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

Eco-friendly technology nowadays is an important event to maintain the pollutant free and safe environment. Such condition to certain extent may be provided by the predatory insects namely the reduviids .They act as bio-control agents on insect pests and play an effective role in eco-friendly technology. To prove reduviids as effective bio control agent on insect pest, the functional response experiment was carried out in the pest of *Solanum melongena* Linn (brinjal) the *Pterophorus lienigianus (Z)* in a brinjal agro ecosystem, using the reduviid predators *Rhynocoris fuscipes* (Fabricius) and *Endocus inornatus* (Stal).The number of prey was kept constant through out the experimental period."Disc"equation of Holling (1959) Y = a (Tt - by) x was used to describe the functional response of both predators. Study on the functional response in *R. fuscipes* and *E. inornatus* suggested that both were capable of suppressing the pest population by killing more number of pests. Hence they could be mass cultured and effectively employed as bio control agent for suppressing the pest population of brinjal. Managing the pest by using natural predators will minimize the usage of pesticides, and make the environment free from toxic pollutant.

Keywords: Polllution reduviids, Solanum Melongena Linn, Endocus Inornaths

I. INTRODUCTION

Biological control by predators such as assassin bugs help in the regulation of insect pest population in Integrated Pest Management (IPM). Biological control refers to the regulatory action of parasites, predators or pathogens to maintain the density of an organism (pest) at a lower level than would occur without these natural enemies. Reduviids are exclusively predatory mostly on insect pests. They have good searching ability, a high degree of host specificity and higher reproductive capacity and are amenable to mass culture. They attack a greater number of prev at higher prey density than at a lower prey density (Mc Mahan, ,1983). Since they are specific, safer to non target species, beneficial insects of higher animals and man and have the least effect on the ecosystem, they have been used as highly successful group of bio control agent in Insect Pest Management to maintain an eco-friendly environment.

R.fuscipes and *E. inornatus* the entomosuccivorous, polyphagous, crepuscular, assassin bugs are excellent predators predominantly found in agro ecosystems in India. They are the potent bio control predator of insect pests such as *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner, *Mylabris pustulata* Thunberg, *Dysdercus cingulatus* Fabricius, and *Achaea janata* Linnaeus (Ambrose, 1996). However there is no report available on the eco-friendly technology of *R. fuscipes* and *E. inornatus* in the brinjal pest. Hence an attempt was made to asses the eco-friendly technology for the management of brinjal pest by way of studying the prey consumption in relation to prey density (functional response) of *R. fuscipes* and *E. inornatus* on *P. lienigianus* - the pest of *S melongena*.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

The laboratory raised adult females of R. fuscipes and E. inornatus starved for 24 hours were used in this experiment. The functional response experiments were performed in the infested S.melongena agro ecosystem at Vellamodi village, in kanyakumari district of Tamilnadu. In field trials on functional response, one female predator of each species was allowed on the branches of infested brinjal and covered over by synthetic net material. The prey was also within the net cover. The branches were selected in such a way that the particular portion of the branch had 1,2,4,8 or 16 rolled leaves containing an equivalent number of cater pillars of the P.lienigianus. The rolled leaves were slightly opened exposing the pest partially enabling the predator to track the prey easily.

Thus, five different categories of experimental setup with five different prey levels were maintained

separately for each set up for 6 days. Six replicates were made for each category. After 24 hours the number of prey consumed or killed was monitored and the prey number was maintained constant by using other infested plants throughout the experimental period. "Disc" equation of Holling (1959) 'Y' = a (Tt – by) X was used to describe the functional response of both predators to *P.lienigianus*

Where

X = Prey density

Y	=	Total number of prey killed in given
		period of time (Tt)

- Tt = Total time in days for which prey was exposed to the predator
- b = Handing time in days
- a = Rate of discovery per unit of searching time (y/x / Ts)
- Y/X = Attack ratio
- Ts = Searching time in days

Linear regression analysis was made to establish the relationship between the prey density and the number of prey attacked, the searching time and the attack ratio.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Number of Prey Attacked

The number of prey killed gradually increased with increasing prey density from 1 prey / predator to 16 prey / predator. The maximum predation was represented by K value and was restricted to the higher prey density (K = 9.66 ± 1.31 and 6.47 ± 0.44 for *R. fuscipes* and *E. inornatus* respectively. Table 1&2). A positive correlation was obtained between the prey density and the prey attacked (Y = 2.089×-1.953 ; r = 0.96) for *R. fuscipes* and (Y = 1.429x - 1.257; r = 0.974) for *E. inornatus*. (fig1)

Positive correlation between prey density and predation was in agreement with the findings Awadallah et al. (1984) in *A. biannulipes* on stored product pests; Ambrose *et al.*, (2000) in *R.* marginatus on pest of pigeon peas and Claver *et al.*(2004) in *C.spiniscutis* on *S.litura* and *H.armigera*.

B. Searching Time

The searching time decreased as the prey density increased from 1 to 16. It decreased from 5.38 to 0.01 in *R. fuscipes* and 5.33 to -0.02 in *E. inornatus (*table 1&2). A negative correlation Y = 1.295x + 7.211; (r = -0.96; Y = -1.329x + 7.243; r = -0.960) was obtained for *R. fuscipes and E. inornatus respectively.* (Fig 2)

	Prey density	Prey attacked y	Max Y (k)	Days / Y b = Tt/K	Days all y's (by)	Days searching Ts = Tt – by	Attack ratio y/x	Rate of Discovery (y/x)/Ts = a	Disc equation Y' = a (Tt - by) x	Y'
R. f u s	1	1.00 ± 0.0	9.66 ± 1.31	0.62	0.62	5.38	1	0.19	0.19 (5.38) × 1	1.02
	2	1.92 ± 0.15			1.19	4.81	0.96	0.20	0.20 (4.81) × 2	1.92
с i	4	3.50 ± 0.24			2.17	3.83	0.88	0.23	0.23 (3.83) × 4	3.52
p e s	8	5.49 ± 0.96			3.40	2.60	0.69	0.27 0.27 (2.60) × 8		5.62
	16	9.66 ± 1.31			5.99	0.01	0.60	0.60	0.60 (0.01) × 16	9.60

Table 1 Summary of calculations used in analysing the cumulative functional response (Y') for 6 days in *R. fuscipes* (adults) at five different densities of P.lienigianus (n=6) Bibin.G.Anand et al : Ecofriendly Technology for the Management ...

X = Prey density;

Y = Prey attacked;

- k = maximum Y; b = handling time (in days)
- by = days all Y's; Ts = searching time (in days)

Y/X = attack ratio;

- a = rate of discovery;
- Tt = total time (in days) for which prey was exposed to the predator.

In both the predators, the searching time (Ts), the interval between successive predation and the handling time decreased as the prey density increased, similar to the findings of Sahayaraj and Ambrose (1996) in *N. therasii* for *D. cingulatus*. They

spent lesser time for searching the prey at higher prey densities as observed by senrayan (1999).

C. Attack Ratio

The attack ratio decreased as the prey density increased. The highest attack ratio was observed at the density of one prey / predator and the lowest ratio was observed at the density of 16 prey / predator. Like searching time, the attack ratio was also negatively correlated to the prey density (y = -0.107 x + 1.147; r = 0.974 for *R. fuscipes* and y = -0.079 x + 0.823; r = 0.979 for *E. inomatus.* (Fig 3).

It was similar to the findings of Hassel *et al.* (1976) stated that the attack rate decreased with increasing prey density in the case of predators having type II functional response. As the satiated bugs would

Table 2. Summary of calculations used in analysing the cumulative functional response (Y') for 6 days in *E. inornatus* (adults) at five different densities of P. lienigianus (n = 6)

	Prey density	Prey attacked y	Max Y (k)	Days / Y b = Tt/K	Days all y's (by)	Days searching Ts = Tt – by	Attack ratio y/x	Rate of Discovery (y/x)/Ts = a	Disc equation Y′ = a (Tt − by) x	Y'
E. i o r a t u s	1	$\textbf{0.72}\pm0.08$	6.47 ± 0.44	0.93	0.67	5.33	0.72	0.14	0.14 (5.33) × 1	0.75
	2	1.34 ± 0.13			1.25	4.75	0.67	0.14	0.14 (4.75) × 2	1.33
	4	$\textbf{2.49} \pm \textbf{0.29}$			1.94	4.06	0.62	0.15	0.15 (4.06) × 4	2.44
	8	4.13 ± 0.38			3.84	2.16	0.52	0.24	0.24 (2.16) × 8	4.18
	16	6.47 ± 0.44			6.02	- 0.02	0.40	- 0.20	- 0.20 (- 0.02) × 16	6.4













not search for another prey the attack rate decreased with the increasing prey density in both the predators.

IV. CONCLUSION

Although the real efficacy of these predators in bio control programmes could be arrived only after evaluating its numerical response by further investigations. the present investigation on the functional response in R. fuscipes and E. inornatus suggested that they were capable of suppressing the increasing pest population by killing more number of pest. Hence they could be mass cultured and effectively employed as bio control agents for suppressing pest population of brinjal. At all prey densities R.fuscipes was much quicker and more successful in prey capture and killing, killed more number of prevs than E. inornatus. This might be due to the inherent difference in the predatory potential of this predator.

The present study also gives an idea about the eco-friendly technology for the management of brinjal pest. It involves strategies like mass rearing of this predators and subsequent large scale release of these predators in the S. *melongena* (brinjal) agro ecosystem to manage insect pests, to minimize the use of pesticides reduce the toxic pollution of the environment and maintain apollutant free and safe environment

REFERENCES

- Ambrose, D.P. 1996, Assassin bugs (Insecta: Heteroptera: Reduviidae) in biocontrol: success and strategies, a review. In: Biological and cultural control of Insect pests, an Indian Scenario. Ambrose D.P. (Editor). Adeline publishers. Tirunelveli. 262 – 284.
- [2] Ambrose, D.P. Claver, M.A. and Mariappan, P. 2000. Functional response of *Rhynocoris marginatus* (Heteroptera; Reduviidae) to two pests of pigeon pea(*Cajanus cajan*). Indi. Journ. Agri. Scien., 70: 630 -632.
- [3] Awadallah, K.T., Tawfik, M.F.S., Abdellah, M.M.H. 1984. Suppresson effect of the reduviid predator *Akllaecoranum biannulipes* (Montr. Et sign.) on population of some stored product insect pests. J. Appl. Ent., 97: 249 – 253.
- [4] Claver, M.A., Muthu, M.S.A., Ravichandran, B. And Ambrose, D.P. 2004. Behaviour, prey preference and functional response of *Coranus spiniscutis* (Reuter), a potential predator of Tomato insects pests. Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems 10: 19-27.
- [5] Hassel, M.P., Lawton, J.H., Beddington, J.R. 1976. The components of arthropod predation I. The prey death – rate. J. Anim. Ecol., 45 : 135 – 164.
- [6] Holling, C.S. 1959. Some characteristics of simple type of predation and parasitism. Can.Entamol.,91;385-395.
- [7] Mcmahan, E.A.1983.Adaptions, feeding preference and bio metric of a termite baiting assasian bug (Hemiptera:Reduvidae) Ann.Ent.Soc.,Amer.,11;685-689
- [8] Sahayaraj, K. And Ambrose, D.P. 1996. Functional response of the reduviid predator *Neohaematorrhophis therasii* Ambrose and Livingstone to the Cotton stainer *Dysdercus cingulatus* Fabricius. Biological and cultural control of Insect pests, an Indian scenario. Ambrose, D.P. (ed.), Tirunelveli, India, Adeline Publishers pp. 328- 331.
- [9] Senrayan, A. 1998. Functional response of *Eocanthcone furellata* (Wolff) (Heteroptera; Pentatomidae) in relation to prey density and defence with reference to its prey *Latoia lepida*(Cramer) (Lepidoptera: Limacodidae); Proc. Indian acad. Sci. (Anim.Sci.) 97; 339 345.